	WENTAL PROTECTION	
No.	Ner N	
FL	ORIDA	
1		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC			
AIRS ID#: 0110020 DATE: 7/19/11	ARRIVE: <u>1100</u>	DEPART: <u>1400</u>		
FACILITY NAME: FT LAUDERDALE READY-	MIX CCB PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 2500 SW 2ND AV	E			
FT LAUDERDALI	E 33315-3114			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: tlancaster@titanamerica.com CONTACT NAME: BRUCE HALLER Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/30/2008 / 11/3 (effective date) (end data)	N. P. N. 30/2013	PHONE: (954)425-4227 Mobile: (561)504-6787 PHONE: (954)761-1944 Mobile:		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-C		IFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	C			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Bob Gill	<u>u</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY LA If no, who is?: <u>Ms. Cindy Burns, Director of En</u>				
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still BRUCE HALLER? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea				

8 - CCB Plant#1-silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse, easternmost subject to Reasonable Pro	ecautions	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/10/10</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ------ X Yes No No 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? ------ X Yes No No 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne No No 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? ------ Yes No No b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- X Yes No No 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes No b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ Yes No No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

9-CCB Plant#1-splitsilo compart.#1w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/10/10</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ☐ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ☐ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ☐ N/A ☐ Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	I
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop romes, Roads, rarking Areas, Stock rnes, and rards	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

2.	. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

<u>10 -CCB Plant#1-splitsilo,compart.#2w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable F</u>	Precautions	<u>5</u>
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∑ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.					
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>					
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	No No				
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	No				
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	No				
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	No No				

11 -CCB Plant#1-silo(cement)w/individ.silotopb-house(standalone) subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/10/10</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ∑ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ∑ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ∑ N/A ∑ Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.)		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and			
<u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes 	□ No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 			
 particulate matter from stock piles? Xes b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes 	□ No □ No		

2.	If reasonable precautions not being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	No No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	TYes	No No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

12 -CCB Plant#1-weigh hopper & truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
1. Date of last inspection: <u>6/10/10</u> 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ⊠ Yes □ If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? □ Yes □ b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? □ N/A □ Yes □ c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	No No No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards				
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to Control emissions? 	No No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the				

owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of

particulate matter? ------ X Yes

particulate matter from stock piles? ------ X Yes

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- X Yes

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes

b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ------ Yes

No No

No No

No No

□ No

No No

<u>13 – CCB Plant#2-silo#1 (cement)w/idivid</u>	lual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	

1.	Date of last inspection: $6/10/10$	
2.	Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 🛛 Yes	No No
	If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	🗌 No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \Box N/A \Box Yes	No No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.

<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes	🗌 No
	 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: <u>6/10/10</u>	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	∐ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \square N/A \square Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a Management of reads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
control emissions? X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? X Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	D No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	l
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes	No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

<u> 15 – CCB Plant#2-weighhopper&truck loadout w/cent. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/10/10</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? □ Yes □ No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? □ Yes □ No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? □ N/A □ Yes □ No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	- Xes	No
	 control emissions?	_	∐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year of more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exc	eption of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) of	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	Yes No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Xes No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	<u>U</u> Yes <u>No</u>
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Ves No
	1
<u>gal diesel/yr</u> + <u>gal gasoline/yr</u> + <u>MM SCF nat. gas/yr</u> + <u>MM ga</u> 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal	
275,000 gai diesel/yr 25,000 gai gasonne/yr 44 wiwi ser nat. gas/yr 1.5 Wiwi gai	propane, yr
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel c	consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

DELOCATABLE DI ANT.		
RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>	na auestion ?	
concrete batening and/or noninetance nineral processing plants: (if only stationary, skip the jouowi	ng question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	□ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	🗌 Yes	No No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		_
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?		🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe	ermit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	ge)? 📙 Yes	∐ No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	∐ No
I		
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
Administrative Changes:		• ′
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🖂 Yes	∐ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		N
a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No 🕅 No
		\bowtie No
 c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? 	Yes	\bowtie No
u. A change in ownership?	1 1 1 68	
4. If the answer to any question $3a - d$ is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	bmitted	
30 days prior to the change?	TYes	🛛 No
50 days prior to the change?	les	

C.Pitters

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/19/2011

Date of Inspection

7/19/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: